

State of California  
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency  
Department of Transportation

PROGRAM AMENDMENTS  
STIP Amendment 00S-023B  
Action Item  
CTC Meeting: June 6-7, 2001

Prepared By:  
Jim Nicholas  
Chief  
Division of Transportation Programming  
(916) 654-4013

***PROPOSED***

Agenda Item: 2.1a.(21)

Original Signed By  
W. J. EVANS  
Chief Financial Officer  
June 1, 2001

**STIP AMENDMENT 00S-023B – ACTION**

**ACTION UPDATE FROM NOTICE**

This item was noticed at the May 2001 Commission meeting under STIP Amendment 00S-023. STIP Amendment 00S-023 had proposed to delay the programming schedule for four projects in Mendocino, Placer and Yuba counties.

The Commission expressed concern about projects that had previously been delayed and were now requesting another programming delay. They directed that those projects with their first construction (CON) programming delay would be approved without discussion and could be placed on the June Consent Calendar. They further directed that those with a prior construction programming delay return in June with an explanation of the previous delay(s) and a discussion about the relative certainty that the proposed schedule delay for construction can be met.

In order to allow those projects with their first delay to be on consent, the Department has split STIP Amendment 00S-023 into two separate amendments: STIP Amendment 00S-023A is for the three projects requesting a schedule delay for the first time, and STIP Amendment 00S-023B is for the remaining project with previous delays.

This amendment, STIP Amendment 00S-023B, contains a Revised Summary, Revised Executive Summary and Revised Summary Table for the project in Placer County which has been delayed previously. An explanation of the previous delay and a discussion about the relative certainty that the proposed schedule delay for construction can be met has been added to the background for this project. The references to the other three projects have been shown with “~~strike thru~~” text, including the references in the attachments.

The following table lists all four projects in the original STIP Amendment 00S-023 with schedule delays and their corresponding revised STIP amendment number.

**LISTING BY AMENDMENT (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)**

Amend. No.	COUNTY	PPNO	FY 2001-02 to FY 2000-01	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04	FY 2002-03 to FY 2003-04
00S-023A	Mendocino	4073P		\$230		
00S-023A	Yuba	9725A		\$3,957		
00S-023A	Yuba	9725B		\$6,180		
00S-023B	Placer	0147V		\$7,714		

June 6-7, 2001

## **REVISED SUMMARY**

**This amendment delays \$7,714,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for the construction components of the Interstate 80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange project in Placer County. The net change in programming is: \$7,714,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$7,714,000 increase in FY 2002-03, with no change in County Share Balances.**

## **SUMMARY**

~~This amendment delays and adjusts programming for four projects in Mendocino, Placer and Yuba Counties. The net change in programming, by fiscal year (FY) is an \$18,081,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and an \$18,081,000 increase in FY 2002-03.~~

## **REVISED RECOMMENDATION**

**The Department and the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency are requesting this amendment.**

## **RECOMMENDATION**

~~Mendocino, Placer and Yuba Counties requested these changes to adjust their programmed projects. The Department has reviewed the amendment and found it to be consistent with the Commission adopted STIP guidelines.~~

## **RESOLUTION**

Resolved, that the California Transportation Commission revise the 2000 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) at the end of the 30 day notice period as shown on Attachment A.

## **REVISED EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**This amendment delays and adjusts programming for one project in Placer County. The net change in programming by fiscal year (FY) is:  
\$7,714,000 decrease in FY 2001-02; and  
\$7,714,000 increase in FY 2002-03.**

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND**

~~This amendment delays and adjusts programming for 4 projects in 3 counties. The net change in programming by fiscal year (FY) is:  
\$18,081,000 decrease in FY 2001-02; and  
\$18,081,000 increase in FY 2002-03.~~

June 6-7, 2001

The net changes in \$Thousands by the Fiscal Year of delay are tabulated below:

Summary	Changes (\$1,000)				
	FY 00-01	FY 01-02	FY 02-03	FY 03-04	FUTURE
From To					
01-02 to 02-03		(\$18,081) (\$7,714)	\$18,081 \$7,714		
01-02 to 03-04					
02-03 to 03-04					
NET Change of delays		(\$18,081) (\$7,714)	\$18,081 \$7,714		
GRAND TOTAL		(\$18,081) (\$7,714)	\$18,081 \$7,714		

## **BACKGROUND**

**This amendment delays \$7,714,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for the construction components of the Interstate 80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange project in Placer County. The net change in programming is: \$7,714,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and \$7,714,000 increase in FY 2002-03, with no change in County Share Balances.**

**Attachment A displays the actual changes to the programming of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) dollars, and Attachment B displays the local funding associated with this project.**

~~This amendment delays and adjusts programming for four projects in Mendocino, Placer and Yuba Counties, with a net change in programming, by fiscal year (FY), of an \$18,081,000 decrease in FY 2001-02 and an \$18,081,000 increase in FY 2002-03. The individual requests are grouped by county, including a summary of each county's request and the reason for delay, followed by a more detailed description of the project and the reasons for delay.~~

~~Attachment A displays the actual changes to the programming of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) and Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) dollars, and Attachment B displays the local funding associated with these projects.~~

## **MENDOCINO COUNTY**

~~This amendment delays \$230,000 in RIP funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for one project with no change in Mendocino County's Share Balance.~~

### **MENDOCINO COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)**

Projects	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04		TOTALS	REASON FOR DELAY
1	\$230			\$230	Environmental Review
TOTAL	\$230			\$230	

June 6-7, 2001

~~This amendment delays \$230,000 in RIP funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for the right of way component of the East Side Potter Valley Road reconstruction project near Potter Valley (PPNO 4073P). Originally right-of-way (R/W) activities were scheduled to start in early FY 2001-02; however, the time necessary for review of environmental studies and reports has taken longer than the original project schedule anticipated. In addition, the permitting process has been delayed due to an oversight in scheduling this task prior to the completion of the environmental documents. The total project cost of \$4,182,000 remains the same with \$362,000 in local contribution.~~

## **PLACER COUNTY**

This amendment delays \$7,714,000 in RIP funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for one project with no change in Placer County's Share Balance. The City of Roseville is the lead agency for the environmental through R/W phases and the Department is the lead agency for the construction phase.

### **PLACER COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)**

Projects	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04		TOTALS	REASON FOR DELAY
1	\$7,714			\$7,714	Shortage of personnel within the City's Consultant's firm.
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$7,714</b>			<b>\$7,714</b>	

This amendment delays \$7,714,000 in RIP funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for the construction and construction engineering components of the Interstate 80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange project in Placer County (PPNO 0147V). The City's design consultant has been understaffed and has fallen behind in delivering scheduled design review submittals to the Department. Additional time was also needed to redesign the eastbound on-ramp because the original alignment in the Project Study Report could not be completed without a design exception. The total project cost of \$19,040,000 remains the same with \$10,350,000 in local contribution.

### **Programming History**

CON Component	Action	Fiscal Year	(\$1,000)	Reason
<b>Original Programming</b>	<b>1998 STIP</b>	<b>2000-01</b>	<b>\$7,714</b>	<b>New Project</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> Delay</b>	<b>98S-106</b>	<b>2001-02</b>	<b>\$7,714</b>	<b>Design change; replace three proposed bridges with a cut and cover tunnel.</b>
<b>This Proposal</b>	<b>00S-023B</b>	<b>2002-03</b>	<b>\$7,714</b>	<b>Shortage of personnel within the City's consultant firm. Longer design time is needed for a cut and cover tunnel than the originally proposed bridges.</b>

### **Discussion of Revised Programming**

**Delaying \$7,714,000 in RIP funds for construction from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 is needed to address the design schedule. Unanticipated complications with the cut and cover tunnel design combined with the City's design consultant being understaffed have contributed to this delay. A new firm who is committed to delivering the project has acquired the City's design consultant. The commitment to deliver this project is supported by the large increase in the number of people that are now working on the final design of the project. On March 30, 2001, a 60% PS&E submittal was made to Caltrans.**

June 6-7, 2001

**YUBA COUNTY**

This amendment delays \$4,887,000 in RIP funding and \$5,250,000 in IIP funding from FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03 for two projects that will be combined into one project. No change in Yuba County's Share Balance. Yuba County is the lead agency for environmental through R/W phases and the Department is the lead agency for the construction phase of the combined project.

**YUBA COUNTY SUMMARY (AMOUNTS IN \$THOUSANDS)**

Projects	FY 2001-02 to FY 2002-03	FY 2001-02 to FY 2003-04		TOTALS	REASON FOR DELAY
1	\$3,957			\$3,957	Negotiations prior to environmental document circulation
2	\$6,180			\$6,180	Negotiations prior to environmental document circulation
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$10,137</b>			<b>\$10,137</b>	

Project #1—This amendment delays \$3,957,000 in RIP funding for the construction component of the Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange project near Marysville (PPNO 9725A). The Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange project was programmed in two phases to better coordinate with the Route 70 widening project. This project (PPNO 9725A) was originally programmed as Phase 1 to be completed prior to the widening. Phase 2 (PPNO 9725B) was to be combined and completed with the Route 70 widening project. Due to a lengthy consultation process with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), the Route 70 widening project will now begin construction prior to Phase 1 of the Interchange project. With the widening project now occurring first, there is no need to phase the project; and this amendment will recombine Phase 1 (PPNO 99725A) and Phase 2 (PPNO 9725B) into one project (PPNO 9725B).

The project delay is due to the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) Section 404 process that requires the federal resource agencies to concur with a project's "Purpose and Need" prior to the agencies commenting on the project's environmental document. The County and the Department have been working with federal agencies on this issue for approximately one year. Recently the USFWS, which has been the resource agency that has taken the lead in rejecting the proposed "Purpose and Need," has offered to accept it, if the County will enter into a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) process. The County and Department have agreed to work with USFWS on an HCP for the southern portion of the County. The USFWS has sent a letter of concurrence on the "Purpose and Need," allowing the environmental document to be circulated for comment.

Because the circulation of the environmental document has been delayed one year, the construction of the Interchange project must be delayed one year.

June 6-7, 2001

~~Project #2—This amendment delays \$930,000 in RIP funding and \$5,250,000 in IIP funding for the construction and construction engineering components of the Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange project near Marysville (PPNO 9725B). The Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange project was programmed in two phases to better coordinate with the Route 70 widening project. This project was originally programmed as Phase 2 to be completed after Phase 1 (PPNO 9725A) and be combined with the Route 70 widening project for construction. As noted above, the entire Interchange project (Phase I and Phase II) has been delayed by a lengthy consultation process with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The Route 70 widening project will begin construction first and there is no need to phase the Interchange project so this amendment recombines Phase 1 (PPNO 99725A) and Phase 2 (PPNO 9725B) into one project (PPNO 9725B).~~

#### ATTACHMENTS

**STIP AMENDMENT 00S-023B**  
**STIP PROGRAMMING**  
**(\$1,000)**

ATTACHMENT A

Fund	County	Agency	Rte	Lead	Delay By	PPNO	Project	Total	Prior	Project Totals by Fiscal Year					Fut	Project Totals by Component					
										FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	R/W		Const	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup	Con Eng	
RIP	MEN	Mendocino County		Local	Local	4073P	East Side Potter Valley Road - roadway reconstruction	-3,820				-230	-3,590			-230	-3,590				
RIP	MEN	Mendocino County		Local		4073P	East Side Potter Valley Road - roadway reconstruction	3,820				3,820				230	3,590				
							REASON FOR DELAY: Environmental review														
RIP	PLA	Caltrans	80	CT	Local	0147V	I-80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange - widen and revise overcrossing, construct new interchange	-8,690	-976		-7,714					-881	-5,698			-95	-2,016
RIP	PLA	Caltrans	80	CT		0147V	I-80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange - modify existing interchange	8,690	976			7,714				881	5,698			95	2,016
							REASON FOR DELAY: Shortage of personnel within the City's Consultant's firm.														
RIP	YUB	Yuba County	70	Local	Local	9725A	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange - Construct new interchange (Phase 1); PROJECT NOW COMBINED WITH PPNO 9725B BELOW	-3,957			-3,957						-3,957				
							REASON FOR DELAY: Negotiations prior to environmental document circulation														
RIP	YUB	Caltrans	70	CT	Local	9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange - Construct new interchange (Phase 2)	-990		-60	-930								-60		-930
RIP	YUB	Caltrans	70	CT		9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange - Construct new interchange	4,947		60		4,887					3,957		60		930
HP	YUB	Caltrans	70	CT	Local	9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange - Construct new interchange (Phase 2)	-5,250			-5,250						-5,250				
HP	YUB	Caltrans	70	CT		9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange - Construct new interchange	5,250				5,250					5,250				
							REASON FOR DELAY: Negotiations prior to environmental document circulation														

**STIP AMENDMENT 00S-023B**  
**LOCAL PROGRAMMING**  
**(\$1,000)**

ATTACHMENT B

Fund	County	Agency	Rte	Lead	Delay By	PPNO	Project	Total	Prior	Project Totals by Fiscal Year					Project Totals by Component					
										FY 01	FY 02	FY 03	FY 04	Fut	R/W	Const	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup	Con Eng
Local	MEN	Mendocino-County		Local	Local	4073P	East Side Potter Valley Road -- roadway reconstruction	-362		-362							-60	-302		
Local	MEN	Mendocino-County		Local		4073P	East Side Potter Valley Road -- roadway reconstruction	362		362							60	302		
Local	PLA	Caltrans	80	Local	Local	0147V	I-80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange - widen and revise overcrossing, construct new interchange	-10,350	-3,536		-6,814				-3,536	-6,814				
Local	PLA	Caltrans	80	Local		0147V	I-80 Sunrise/Douglas Boulevard Interchange - modify existing interchange	10,350	3,536			6,814			3,536	6,814				
Local	YUB	Yuba County	70	Local	Local	9725A	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange -- Construct new interchange (Phase 1); PROJECT NOW COMBINED WITH PPNO 9725B BELOW	-9,546		-1,460	-8,086				-1,325	-6,761	-204	-1,256		
Local	YUB	Caltrans	70	Local	Local	9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange -- Construct new interchange (Phase 2)	-2,766			-2,766					-2,766				
Local	YUB	Caltrans	70	Local		9725B	Motorplex Parkway/Route 70 Interchange -- Construct new interchange	12,312		1,460	1,325	9,527			1,325	8,250	204	1,256		1,277